<span id="page-0-0"></span>Key Assistance, Key Agreement, and Layered Secrecy for Bosonic Broadcast Channels

Uzi Pereg

#### Technical University of Munich (TUM)

#### Joint Work with Roberto Ferrara and Matthieu R. Bloch

ITW 2021







- Physical-layer security requires the communication of private information to be secret, regardless of computational capabilities.
	- Secret-key agreement is a promising method to achieve this goal, whereby the sender and receiver generate a secret key before communication takes place.
- Physical-layer security requires the communication of private information to be secret, regardless of computational capabilities.
	- Secret-key agreement is a promising method to achieve this goal, whereby the sender and receiver generate a secret key before communication takes place.
	- In practice, quantum key distribution (QKD) is the most mature application of quantum information theory
- Physical-layer security requires the communication of private information to be secret, regardless of computational capabilities.
	- Secret-key agreement is a promising method to achieve this goal, whereby the sender and receiver generate a secret key before communication takes place.
	- In practice, quantum key distribution (QKD) is the most mature application of quantum information theory
- In some noise models, communication can also be secured without key assistance.

- The Layered Secrecy model describes a network in which multiple users have different credentials to access confidential information.
- For example: a WiFi network of an agency, in which a user is allowed to receive files up to a certain security clearance, but should be kept ignorant of classified files that require a higher security level [Zou et al., 2015].
	-
- In some models, this structure allows the provision of secrecy in hindsight [Tahmasbi, Bloch, and Yener, 2020].



- The Layered Secrecy model describes a network in which multiple users have different credentials to access confidential information.
- For example: a WiFi network of an agency, in which a user is allowed to receive files up to a certain security clearance, but should be kept ignorant of classified files that require a higher security level [Zou et al., 2015].
	- ∗ The agency can set the channel quality on a clearance basis by assigning more communication resources to users with a higher security rank.
- In some models, this structure allows the provision of secrecy in hindsight [Tahmasbi, Bloch, and Yener, 2020].



- The Layered Secrecy model describes a network in which multiple users have different credentials to access confidential information.
- For example: a WiFi network of an agency, in which a user is allowed to receive files up to a certain security clearance, but should be kept ignorant of classified files that require a higher security level [Zou et al., 2015].
	- ∗ The agency can set the channel quality on a clearance basis by assigning more communication resources to users with a higher security rank.
- In some models, this structure allows the provision of secrecy in hindsight [Tahmasbi, Bloch, and Yener, 2020].



- The Layered Secrecy model describes a network in which multiple users have different credentials to access confidential information.
- For example: a WiFi network of an agency, in which a user is allowed to receive files up to a certain security clearance, but should be kept ignorant of classified files that require a higher security level [Zou et al., 2015].
	-
- In some models, this structure allows the provision of secrecy in hindsight [Tahmasbi, Bloch, and Yener, 2020].



• Optical communication forms the backbone of the Internet



unsplash.com

- Optical communication forms the backbone of the Internet
- The bosonic (Gaussian) channel is a simple quantum-mechanical model for optical communication over free space or optical fibers



unsplash.com

## Related Work

Very partial list: Classical Security

- Secret-key agreement [Maurer, 1993] [Ahlswede and Csiszár,1993]
- Wiretap channel with key assistance [Yamamoto, 2010]
- Layered secrecy [Ly, Liu, and Blankenship, 2012] [Zou, Liang, Lai, Poor, and Shamai, 2015]

Quantum Security

- Secret-key agreement [Devetak and Winter, 2005]
- Wiretap channel [Devetak, 2005] [Cai, Winter, and Yeung, 2004]
	- key assistance [Hsieh, Luo, and Brun, 2008] [Wilde, 2011]
	- public/secret message [Hsieh and Wilde, 2009]
- Confidential Broadcast channel [Salek, Hsie, and Fonollosa, 2019]

## Related Work

### Very partial list:

Classical Security

- Secret-key agreement [Maurer, 1993] [Ahlswede and Csiszár,1993]
- Wiretap channel with key assistance [Yamamoto, 2010]
- Layered secrecy [Ly, Liu, and Blankenship, 2012] [Zou, Liang, Lai, Poor, and Shamai, 2015]

Quantum Security

- Secret-key agreement [Devetak and Winter, 2005]
- Wiretap channel [Devetak, 2005] [Cai, Winter, and Yeung, 2004]
	- key assistance [Hsieh, Luo, and Brun, 2008] [Wilde, 2011]
	- public/secret message [Hsieh and Wilde, 2009]
- Confidential Broadcast channel [Salek, Hsie, and Fonollosa, 2019]

Bosonic broadcast channels

- Classical capacity [Guha, Shapiro, and Erkmen, 2007]
- Entanglement distillation [Takeoka, Seshadreesan, and Wilde, 2017]
- Teleportation-covariant channel [Laurenza and Pirandola, 2017]
- Amplifier channel [Qi and Wilde, 2017]
- Covertness [Anderson, Guha, and Bash, 2021]

We study secret-sharing building blocks that are based on quantum broadcast communication.

• Confidential capacity region of the pure-loss bosonic broadcast channel with shared key assistance (under min output-entropy conjecture)

We study secret-sharing building blocks that are based on quantum broadcast communication.

- Confidential capacity region of the pure-loss bosonic broadcast channel with shared key assistance (under min output-entropy conjecture)
- Conference **key agreement** for the distillation and distribution of joint  $+$ private keys

We study secret-sharing building blocks that are based on quantum broadcast communication.

- Confidential capacity region of the pure-loss bosonic broadcast channel with shared key assistance (under min output-entropy conjecture)
- Conference key agreement for the distillation and distribution of joint  $+$ private keys
- Quantum layered secrecy: Three receivers with different security levels

## <span id="page-16-0"></span>• [Key Assistance and Key Agreement](#page-16-0)

- · Definitions
- [Main Results](#page-33-0)

### **• [Layered Secrecy](#page-44-0)**

- [Channel Model](#page-44-0)
- [Main Results](#page-49-0)

A quantum broadcast channel  $\mathcal{N}_{A\rightarrow BE}$  is a linear, completely positive, trace preserving map corresponding to a quantum physical evolution:

 $\rho_A \xrightarrow{\mathscr{N}} \rho_{BE}$ 

A quantum broadcast channel  $\mathcal{N}_{A\rightarrow BE}$  is a linear, completely positive, trace preserving map corresponding to a quantum physical evolution:



Alice transmits a common message and a confidential message,  $m_0$  and  $m_1$ , resp. Bob — legitimate receiver of both  $m_0$  and  $m_1$ Eve – legitimate receiver of  $m_0$ , but also eavesdrops on  $m_1$ 

For a single-mode bosonic broadcast channel, the channel input is an electromagnetic field mode with annihilation operator  $\hat{a}$ , and the outputs are

$$
\hat{b} = \sqrt{\eta} \,\hat{a} + \sqrt{1 - \eta} \,\hat{c}
$$

$$
\hat{e} = \sqrt{1 - \eta} \,\hat{a} - \sqrt{\eta} \,\hat{c}
$$



For a single-mode bosonic broadcast channel, the channel input is an electromagnetic field mode with annihilation operator  $\hat{a}$ , and the outputs are

$$
\hat{b} = \sqrt{\eta} \,\hat{a} + \sqrt{1 - \eta} \,\hat{c}
$$

$$
\hat{e} = \sqrt{1 - \eta} \,\hat{a} - \sqrt{\eta} \,\hat{c}
$$

where

• the noise mode  $\hat{c}$  is in a thermal Gaussian state (lossy) or vacuum state (pure-loss)



For a single-mode bosonic broadcast channel, the channel input is an electromagnetic field mode with annihilation operator  $\hat{a}$ , and the outputs are

$$
\hat{b} = \sqrt{\eta} \,\hat{a} + \sqrt{1 - \eta} \,\hat{c}
$$

$$
\hat{e} = \sqrt{1 - \eta} \,\hat{a} - \sqrt{\eta} \,\hat{c}
$$

where

- the noise mode  $\hat{c}$  is in a thermal Gaussian state (lossy) or vacuum state (pure-loss)
- the transmissivity  $\eta \in [0,1]$  captures the absorption length of the optical fiber



• A coherent state  $|\alpha\rangle$  corresponds to an oscillation of the electromagnetic field,

$$
|\alpha\rangle = D(\alpha)|0\rangle
$$
  

$$
D(\alpha) \equiv \exp(\alpha \hat{a}^{\dagger} - \alpha^* \hat{a})
$$

• The transmitter employs a coherent state protocol.

### Communication Scheme (1)

A key  $k$  is drawn from  $[1:2^{nR_K}]$  uniformly at random, and then shared between Alice and Bob.



### Communication Scheme (2)

Alice chooses a common message  $m_0$  for both Bob and Eve, and a confidential message  $m_1$  for Bob.



### Communication Scheme (3)

Input: Alice prepares  $\rho_{\mathcal{A}^n}^{m_0, m_1, k} = \mathscr{F}(m_0, m_1, k)$ , and transmits  $\mathcal{A}^n$ . Output: Bob and Eve receive  $B<sup>n</sup>$  and  $E<sup>n</sup>$ , resp.



### Communication Scheme (4)

Eve performs a measurement  $\Xi_{E^n}$ , and obtains  $\tilde{m}_0$ . Bob performs a measurement  $\Gamma_{B^n|k}$ , and obtains  $\hat{m}_0, \hat{m}_1$ .



### Security Requirement

$$
I(M_1;E^n|M_0)_{\rho}\to 0 \text{ as } n\to\infty
$$



### Key Agreement Protocol (1)

Alice, Bob, and Eve share a product state  $\omega_{ABE}^{\otimes n}$ .



### Key Agreement Protocol (2)

Alice performs a measurement  $F_{A^n}$ , producing  $k_0, k_1, z_b, z_e$ .



### Key Agreement Protocol (3)

Alice sends  $z_b$  and  $z_e$  to Bob and Eve through a public channel. Bob and Eve receive  $(B^n, z_b)$  and  $(E^n, z_e)$ , resp.



### Key Agreement Protocol (4)

Eve performs a measurement  $\Xi_{E^n|z_e}$ , and obtains  $\tilde k_0$ . Bob performs a measurement  $\lceil_{B^n|z_b}$ , and obtains  $\hat k_0, \hat k_1$ .



### Security Requirement

## $I(Z_b, Z_e; K_0), I(Z_b, Z_e, E^n; K_1)_{\rho} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$



#### <span id="page-33-0"></span>**•** [Key Assistance and Key Agreement](#page-16-0)

- Definitions
- [Main Results](#page-33-0)

#### **• [Layered Secrecy](#page-44-0)**

- [Channel Model](#page-44-0)
- [Main Results](#page-49-0)

Let  $g(N)$  denote the entropy of a thermal state with mean photon number N, i.e.,

$$
g(N) = \begin{cases} (N+1)\log(N+1) - N\log(N) & \text{if } N > 0\\ 0 & \text{if } N = 0 \end{cases}
$$

Minimum Output-Entropy Conjecture [Guha and Shapiro, 2007] Given a pure-loss bosonic channel, if  $H(A^n)_{\rho} = n g(N_A)$ , then  $H(B^n)_{\rho} \ge n g(\eta N_A)$ .

### Theorem (1)

Assume that the min output-entropy conjecture holds. Then, the capacity region of the pure-loss bosonic broadcast channel with confidential messages and key assistance is as follows. If  $\eta \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , then

$$
C(\mathscr{N}_{pure-loss}) = \bigcup_{0 \leq \beta \leq 1} \left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} (R_0, R_1) & : & R_0 \leq & g((1 - \eta)N_A) - g((1 - \eta)\beta N_A) \\ & R_1 \leq & g(\eta\beta N_A) - g((1 - \eta)\beta N_A) + R_K \\ & R_1 \leq & g(\eta\beta N_A) \end{array} \right\}
$$

.

### Theorem (2)

Otherwise, if  $\eta < \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$
C(\mathscr{N}_{pure-loss}) = \bigcup_{0 \leq \beta \leq 1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (R_0, R_1) : R_0 \leq g((1-\eta)N_A) - g((1-\eta)\beta N_A) \\ R_1 \leq \min(g(\eta\beta N_A), R_K) \end{array} \right\}.
$$

In this case, Bob has a noisier channel than Eve, and the confidential communication relies fully on the secret key (one-time pad).

## Main Results: Key Assistance (Cont.)

Given the transmissivity  $\eta = 0.6$  and input constraint  $N_A = 5$ :



For low common rates, the shared key is fully used to enhance the confidential communication. Whereas, for high rates, the key is only partially used.

The 'breaking point' corresponds to  $\beta_0$  such that  $g((1 - \eta)\beta_0 N_A) = R_K$ .

### Proof outline

- Achievability is interpreted as a "superposition coding scheme", which consists of cloud centers  $t^n(m_0)$  and satellites  $x^n(m_0, m_1)$ .
	- $\circ$  The cloud vector is chosen at random from a bin of size 2<sup>n[g((1−η)βN</sup>A)+δ]<sub>,</sub> to ensure that Eve can recover the cloud center, but not the satellite.
- The technical challenge is in the converse proof, which requires the min output-entropy conjecture. In the proof, it is applied to the degrading channel from Bob to Eve.

### Remark

- The long-standing conjecture is known to hold in special cases, such as
	- $\circ$   $n = 1$  [De Palma, Trevisan, and Giovannetti, 2017]
	- $\circ$   $\rho_{A^n} = |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|$  [Giovannetti, Holevo, and García-Patrón, 2015]
- no longer needed for the single-user wiretap channel, i.e., for  $R_0 = 0$ [Wilde and Qi, 2018]

Define the key-rate region,

$$
K(\omega_{ABE}) = \bigcup_{\substack{\Lambda_A, \, \rho_{T_0, T_1 | X}}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (R_0, R_1) : R_0 \leq \min\left(I(T_0; B)_{\omega}, I(T_0; E)_{\omega}\right) \\ R_1 \leq \left[I(X; B | T_0, T_1)_{\omega} - I(X; E | T_0, T_1)_{\omega}\right]_{+}\end{array} \right\}
$$

where  $[x]_+ = \max(x, 0)$ , and the union is over the POVMs  $\Lambda_A = {\Lambda_A^x}_{x \in \mathcal{X}}$  and distributions  $p_{\mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{T}_1 | X}$ , with

$$
\omega_{BE}^{\text{to},t_1,x} \equiv \text{Tr}_A\left((\Lambda_A^x \otimes \mathbbm{1} \otimes \mathbbm{1})\omega_{ABE}\right).
$$

# Main Results: Key Agreement (Cont.)

#### Theorem

The key-agreement capacity region for the distillation of a public key and a secret key from  $\omega_{ABE}$  in finite dimensions is given by

$$
\mathcal{K}(\omega_{ABC})=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}\mathsf{K}(\omega_{ABC}^{\otimes n}).
$$

# Main Results: Key Agreement (Cont.)

#### Theorem

The key-agreement capacity region for the distillation of a public key and a secret key from  $\omega_{ABE}$  in finite dimensions is given by

$$
\mathcal{K}(\omega_{ABC})=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}\mathsf{K}(\omega_{ABC}^{\otimes n}).
$$

#### **Corollary**

For a degraded broadcast channel,

$$
\mathcal{C}_{k-a}(\mathscr{N},0)=\bigcup_{\omega_{ABE}:\omega_{BE}=\mathscr{N}_{A\to BE}(\omega_A)}\mathcal{K}(\omega_{ABC}).
$$

#### Theorem

The key-agreement capacity region for the distillation of a public key and a secret key from  $\omega_{ABE}$  in finite dimensions is given by

$$
\mathcal{K}(\omega_{ABC})=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}\mathsf{K}(\omega_{ABC}^{\otimes n}).
$$

### **Corollary**

For a degraded broadcast channel,

$$
\mathcal{C}_{k-a}(\mathscr{N},0)=\bigcup_{\omega_{ABE}:\omega_{BE}=\mathscr{N}_{A\rightarrow BE}(\omega_A)}\mathcal{K}(\omega_{ABC}).
$$

• In particular, for thermal states that are associated with a pure-loss bosonic channel, the key-agreement capacity region is a subset of the confidential capacity region with  $R_K = 0$ .

#### <span id="page-44-0"></span>**•** [Key Assistance and Key Agreement](#page-16-0)

- Definitions
- [Main Results](#page-33-0)

### [Layered Secrecy](#page-44-0)

- [Channel Model](#page-44-0)
- [Main Results](#page-49-0)

### Quantum Broadcast Channel with 3 Receivers

Consider a channel  $\mathcal{N}_{A\rightarrow BE_1E_2}$  with three receivers, Bob, Eve 1, and Eve 2. Alice sends three messages.



## Coding with Layered Secrecy



The common message  $m_0$  is intended for all three receivers.



#### Layer-1

In the next layer, the confidential message  $m_1$  is decoded by Bob and Eve 1, but should remain secret from Eve 2.



## Coding with Layered Secrecy

### Layer-2

The confidential message  $m_2$  is decoded by Bob, but should remain secret from both Eve 1 and Eve 2.



#### <span id="page-49-0"></span>**•** [Key Assistance and Key Agreement](#page-16-0)

- Definitions
- [Main Results](#page-33-0)

### [Layered Secrecy](#page-44-0)

- [Channel Model](#page-44-0)
- [Main Results](#page-49-0)

Given a 3-receiver broadcast channel  $\mathscr{N}_{A\to BE_1E_2}$ , define the rate region,

$$
\mathcal{R}_{LS}(\mathcal{N}) = \bigcup_{p_{X_0, X_1, X_2, \varphi_A^{X_0, X_1, X_2}}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (R_0, R_1, R_2) : R_0 \leq l(X_0; E_2)_{\rho} \\ R_1 \leq [l(X_1; E_1 | X_0)_{\rho} - l(X_1; E_2 | X_0)_{\rho}]_+ \\ R_2 \leq [l(X_2; B | X_0, X_1)_{\rho} - l(X_2; E_1 E_2 | X_0, X_1)_{\rho}]_+ \end{array} \right\}
$$

where the union is over the distribution  $\rho_{X_0, X_1, X_2}$  , and the state collections  $\{\varphi_A^{x_0,x_1,x_2}\}\$ , with  $\rho_{BE_1E_2}^{x_0x_1x_2} = \mathcal{N}_{A\to BE_1E_2}(\varphi_A^{x_0,x_1,x_2})$ .

#### Theorem

The layered-secrecy capacity region of the quantum degraded broadcast channel  $\mathscr{N}_{\mathsf{A}\rightarrow\mathsf{BE}_1\mathsf{E}_2}$  in finite dimensions is given by

$$
\mathcal{C}_{LS}(\mathscr{N}) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{R}_{LS}(\mathscr{N}^{\otimes n})
$$

# Main Results: Layered Secrecy (Cont.)

### Theorem

A layered-secrecy rate tuple  $(R_0, R_1, R_2)$  is achievable over the pure-loss bosonic broadcast channel if

$$
R_0 \le g((1 - \eta_1)(1 - \eta_2)N_A) - g((\beta_1 + \beta_2)(1 - \eta_1)(1 - \eta_2)N_A),
$$
  
\n
$$
R_1 \le g((\beta_1 + \beta_2)\eta_2(1 - \eta_1)N_A) - g(\beta_2\eta_2(1 - \eta_1)N_A)
$$
  
\n
$$
- [g((\beta_1 + \beta_2)(1 - \eta_2)(1 - \eta_1)N_A) - g(\beta_2(1 - \eta_2)(1 - \eta_1)N_A)],
$$
  
\n
$$
R_2 \le g(\eta_1\beta_2N_A) - g((1 - \eta_1)\beta_2N_A), \text{ for some } \beta_1, \beta_2 \ge 0 \text{ s.t. } \beta_1 + \beta_2 \le 1.
$$



### Thank You!